

H

In re Northwest Airlines Corp.
Bkrtcy.S.D.N.Y.,2007.

United States Bankruptcy Court,S.D. New York.
In re NORTHWEST AIRLINES CORPORATION,
et al., Debtors.
No. 05-17930 (ALG).

Feb. 26, 2007.

Background: Chapter 11 debtor moved to require ad hoc committee of equity security holders to supplement disclosure statement filed by committee's counsel.

Holding: The Bankruptcy Court, Gropper, J., held that counsel had to include claim information in its disclosure statement.

Motion granted.

Bankruptcy 51 ↪ 3179

51 Bankruptcy

51IX Administration

51IX(E) Compensation of Officers and Others

51IX(E)3 Attorneys

51k3179 k. Disclosure Requirements.

Most Cited Cases

Law firm representing ad hoc committee of equity security holders was required to include in its disclosure statement amount of claims or interest owned by clients, times when acquired, amounts paid therefor, and any sales or disposition thereof, despite firm's contentions that no committee member represented any party other than itself, that only firm represented more than one creditor or equity security holder, and that firm did not have any claims or interests in debtors or anything to disclose. Fed.Rules Bankr.Proc.Rule 2019, 11 U.S.C.A.

Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft LLP by Bruce R. Zirinsky, Esq., Gregory M. Petrick, Esq., One World Financial Center, New York, NY, by Mark C. Ellenberg, Esq., Washington, DC, Counsel for the Debtors. Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP by David

S. Rosner, Esq., Daniel P. Goldberg, Esq., Andrew K. Glenn, Esq., Alycia Regan Benenati, Esq., New York, NY, Counsel for the Ad Hoc Committee of Equity Security Holders.

Otterbourg, Steindler, Houston & Rosen, P.C. by Scott Hazan, Esq., New York, NY, Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors.

MEMORANDUM OF OPINION AND ORDER

ALLAN L. GROPPER, United States Bankruptcy Judge.

*1 Debtors Northwest Airlines Corporation *et al.* ("Debtors") have moved to require an *ad hoc* committee of equity security holders (the "Committee") to supplement a statement pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2019 filed by counsel for the Committee. Debtors argue that the current 2019 statement is inadequate in that it fails to disclose "the amounts of claims or interests owned by the members of the committee, the times when acquired, the amounts paid therefor, and any sales or other disposition thereof," as required by Rule 2019. For the reasons set forth hereafter, Debtors' motion is granted.

The Committee

The Committee first appeared in the above-captioned proceedings by a notice of appearance dated January 11, 2007. The notice of appearance was filed by the law firm of Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP ("KBT & F") on behalf of "the Ad Hoc Committee of Equity Security Holders," comprised of certain institutions holding common stock issued by Northwest Airlines Corp..." In a pleading dated January 16, 2007, KBT & F filed the "Verified Statement of Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2019(a)." The statement contains the following information: KBT & F appears "on behalf of the Ad Hoc Committee of Equity Security Holders ...;" it identifies the 11 members of the Committee; discloses that, "[t]he members of the Ad Hoc Equity Committee own, in the aggregate, 16,195,200 shares of common stock of Northwest and claims against the Debtors in the aggregate amount of \$164.7 million" and that, "[s]ome of the shares of common stock and some of the claims were

acquired by the members of the Ad Hoc Equity Committee after the commencement of the Cases;” states that KBT & F has been retained as “counsel to the Ad Hoc Equity Committee in the Cases pursuant to an engagement letter in the form annexed as Exhibit B hereto;” and states that KBT & F does not own any claims against or interests in the Debtors and that the members of the Committee are responsible for the firm’s fees “subject to their right to have the Debtors reimburse KBT & F’s fees and disbursements and other expenses by order of the Court.”

The engagement letter attached to the 2019 Statement confirms the agreement of the signatory “to become a member of the Ad-hoc Committee of Equity Holders in connection with the Northwest bankruptcy cases.” It further states that in consideration of the firm’s “provision of services to the Committee,” the members of the Committee agree to pay the Firm, on a *pro rata* basis, for its services and that in addition thereto, “the Committee may, at the culmination of the matters for which the Firm has been engaged, pay to the Firm, at the Committee’s sole discretion, a performance fee....” The *pro rata* obligation of each member of the Committee to pay fees is based on its individual holdings of Northwest common stock as of December 26, 2006, divided by the total holdings of the Committee, subject to periodic revision. By an amendment to the Rule 2019 statement, dated January 19, 2007, KBT & F disclosed that there were now 13 Committee members with an aggregate of “19,065,644 shares of common stock of Northwest and claims against the debtors in the aggregate amount of \$264,287,500.”

Bankruptcy Rule 2019

*2 Rule 2019(a) provides, in relevant part:

In a chapter 9 municipality or chapter 11 reorganization case, except with respect to a committee appointed pursuant to § 1102 or 1114 of the Code [an official committee], every entity or committee representing more than one creditor or equity security holder ... shall file a verified statement setting forth

- (1) the name and address of the creditor or equity security holder;
- (2) the nature and amount of the claim or interest and the time of acquisition thereof unless it is alleged to

have been acquired more than one year prior to the filing of the petition;

(3) ... in the case of a committee, the name or names of the entity or entities at whose instance, directly or indirectly, the employment was arranged or the committee was organized or agreed to act; and

(4) with reference to the time of ... the organization or formation of the committee ... the amounts of claims or interests owned by ... the members of the committee ... the times when acquired, the amounts paid therefor, and any sales or other disposition thereof.

By its plain terms, the Rule requires disclosure of “the amounts of claims or interests owned by the members of the committee, the times when acquired, the amounts paid therefor, and any sales or other disposition thereof.” The statement filed by KBT & F on behalf of the Committee fails to disclose this information and is insufficient on its face.

The Committee’s only substantive argument in response is that Bankruptcy Rule 2019 applies, by virtue of its lead-in clause, only to “every entity or committee representing more than one creditor or equity security holder.” KBT & F contends that no member of the Committee represents any party other than itself, that only KBT & F as counsel represents “more than one creditor or equity security holder,” and that KBT & F does not have any claims or interests in the Debtors or anything to disclose. However, the Rule cannot be so blithely avoided. KBT & F’s clients appeared in these Chapter 11 cases as a “Committee.” Their notice of appearance was as a committee, and it is the “Ad Hoc Committee” that has moved for the appointment of an official shareholders’ committee and has been actively litigating discovery issues in numerous hearings and conferences before the Court. Counsel was retained by the “Committee” and is compensated by the “Committee” on the basis of work performed for the Committee (and not each individual member). The law firm does not purport to represent the separate interests of any Committee member; it takes its instructions from the Committee as a whole and represents one entity for purposes of the Rule.

There may be cases where a law firm represents several individual clients and is the only entity required

to file a Rule 2019 statement, on its own behalf. That appears to have been the case in *In re CF Holding Corp.*, 145 B.R. 124 (Bankr.D.Conn.1992), relied on by the Committee. There, a firm represented multiple creditors, and the Court distinguished the case before it from the situation where a group had been formed. It quoted from *Wilson v. Valley Electric Membership Corp.*, 141 B.R., 309, 314 (E.D.La.1992), where Judge Sear, chairman of the Advisory Committee at the time the Bankruptcy Rules were amended in 1986, commented in *dicta*, “Rule 2019 more appropriately seems to apply to the formal organization of a group of creditors holding similar claims, who have elected to consolidate their collection efforts....” That is exactly the situation in this case, except that here there are shareholders rather than creditors. Where an *ad hoc* committee has appeared as such, the committee is required to provide the information plainly required by Rule 2019 on behalf of each of its members.

*3 *Ad hoc* or unofficial committees play an important role in reorganization cases. By appearing as a “committee” of shareholders, the members purport to speak for a group and implicitly ask the court and other parties to give their positions a degree of credibility appropriate to a unified group with large holdings. Moreover, the Bankruptcy Code specifically provides for the possibility of the grant of compensation to “a committee representing creditors or equity security holders other than a committee appointed under section 1102 of this title [an official committee], in making a substantial contribution in a case under chapter 9 or 11 of this title.” 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(3)(D). A committee purporting to speak for a group obviously has a better chance of meeting the “substantial contribution” test than an individual, as a single creditor or shareholder is often met with the argument that it was merely acting in its own self-interest and was not making a “substantial contribution” for purposes of § 503(b)(3). See *In re Richton Int'l Corp.*, 15 B.R. 854, 855-56 (Bankr.S.D.N.Y.1981) (“Those services which are provided solely for the client-as-creditor ... are not compensable.”) ^{FN1}

Unofficial committees have long been active in reorganization cases, and the influential study in the

1930's by Professor (later Justice) William O. Douglas for the Securities and Exchange Commission centered on perceived abuses by unofficial committees in equity receiverships and other corporate reorganizations. See Report on the Study and Investigation of the Work, Activities, Personnel and Functions of Protective and Reorganization Committees (1937). The four-volume SEC report led directly to the adoption of Chapter X and Rule 10-211 thereunder, which provided for disclosure of the “personnel and activities of those acting in a representative capacity” in order to help foster fair and equitable plans free from deception and overreaching. 13A King *et al.*, *Collier on Bankruptcy*, ¶ 10-211.04 (14th ed.1976). Although they made many other changes to the law and rules relating to reorganizations, the drafters of the 1978 Bankruptcy Code and the rules thereunder retained the substance of former Rule 10-211 in Bankruptcy Rule 2019 as “a comprehensive regulation of representation in chapter 9 and chapter 11 reorganization cases.” Advisory Committee Note to Bankruptcy Rule 2019; see also Report of the Commission on Bankruptcy Laws of the United States, H.R.Doc. No. 137, 93d Cong., 1st Sess. 242-43 (1973). The Rule is long-standing, and there is no basis for failure to apply it as written. Although the Committee argues that the Rule has been frequently ignored or watered down, there is no shortage of cases applying it. See *In re Okla. P.A.C. First Ltd. P'ship*, 122 B.R. 387, 391 (Bankr.D.Ariz.1990), quoting *Collier on Bankruptcy*: “The Code contemplates that there will be unofficial committees. Any such unofficial committee must comply with Rule 2019 by its terms”; see also *Baron & Budd P.C. v. Unsecured Asbestos Claimants Comm.*, 321 B.R. 147, 166 (D.N.J.2005); *In re Lonsphere Clubs, Inc.*, 101 B.R. 844, 852 (Bankr.S.D.N.Y.1989); *In re Keene*, 205 B.R. 690 (Bankr.S.D.N.Y.1997); *In re Kaiser Aluminum Corp.*, 327 B.R. 554, 558 (D.Del.2005).

*4 Based on the issue before the Court, the Debtors' motion is granted. The Committee is required to comply with Bankruptcy Rule 2019 and file an amended statement within three business days.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

^{FN1}. Counsel for an unofficial committee is

--- B.R. ---

--- B.R. ---, 2007 WL 609214 (Bkrcty.S.D.N.Y.), 47 Bankr.Ct.Dec. 248

(Cite as: --- B.R. ---)

also entitled to seek reimbursement. 11
U.S.C. § 503(b)(4). It is this section on
which KBT & F was presumably relying
when it reserved its rights, in its retention
letter, to have the Debtors reimburse its fees.

Bkrcty.S.D.N.Y.,2007.

In re Northwest Airlines Corp.

--- B.R. ---, 2007 WL 609214 (Bkrcty.S.D.N.Y.), 47
Bankr.Ct.Dec. 248

END OF DOCUMENT